# PPS GRADUATE STUDENT HANDBOOK

2023 - 2024





**College of Pharmacy** 

## **Table of Contents**

A Brief Introduction	4
Why This Handbook Matters	4
How to Use This Handbook	4
PPS Faculty Leadership	5
Dr. Greg Cuny	5
Dr. BradleyMcConnell	5
Dr. Jason Eriksen	5
Graduate Program Staff	5
Neha Valji	5
Cyrena Bell	5
Department address	5
Concentration Descriptions	6
Pharmacology – Course Calendar	7
Pharmacology Course Requirements	8
Medicinal Chemistry – Course Calendar	9
Medicinal Chemistry – Concentration Requirements	10
Pharmaceutics – Course Calendar – Students Entering in Even Years	11
Pharmaceutics – Concentration Requirements	12
Pharmaceutics – Course Calendar – Students Entering in Odd Years	13
General Course Descriptions for All Concentrations	15
Didactic Courses	15
Elective Courses	15
Seminar Courses (PCOL/PCEU 7180/7181)	15
Drug Literature Review (PCOL/PCEU 7141/7142)	15
PPS Concentration Milestones	15
Research Hours	16
Special Problems Research Credits (PCOL/PCEU 6X98)	16
Full-Time Enrollment Considerations	16
Research and Dissertation Credit Requirements	16
Doctoral Research (PCOL/PCEU 8X98)	17
Doctoral Dissertation (PCOL/PCEU 8X99)	17
Credit Hour Requirements	17
Grading Scheme	17
Student Departmental Seminar	17
Academic and Research Advisors	17

Interim advisors	17
Permanent Faculty Advisor	18
Changing Advisors	18
Monitoring of Graduate Student Progress	18
Components of the Progress Report	18
Laboratory Rotations and Advisor Selection	19
Understanding Laboratory Rotations	19
Reporting and Evaluations	19
Selecting a Dissertation Advisor	19
Special Considerations for Advisors	19
Formation of the Dissertation Committee	19
Enrollment Hold and Exceptions	20
Requirements for Doctoral Candidacy	20
Two-Step Qualifying Examination	20
Dissertation Proposal and Oral Defense: A Comprehensive Guide to Your Milestone Assessment	20
The Defense Procedure	21
Committee Recommendations	21
Documentation and Next Steps	21
University Policy and Timelines: Consequences of Non-Completion	21
Exam Structure and Focus	21
Grading Criteria	21
Retake Policy	21
Completing Your Doctoral Degree	22
Research and Dissertation Credits	22
Seminar Requirement	22
Written Dissertation and Dissertation Defense	22
Professional Travel	22
Vacation and Leave	22
APPENDICES	23
Rubric – Written proposal	24
Rubric – Oral Proposal Defense	26
Rubric – Student Seminar	28
Rubric – Written Dissertation	30
Rubric – Presentation Dissertation Defense	32

## **A Brief Introduction**

Hello and welcome to the Pharmaceutical Sciences Doctoral Degree Program at the University of Houston's College of Pharmacy! We're thrilled that you've chosen to embark on this exciting and challenging journey with us. Whether you're interested in Pharmacology, Pharmaceutics, or Medicinal Chemistry, you're in the right place to cultivate your passion and skills.

This handbook is your go-to guide for navigating the program. It's designed to provide you with all the essential information you'll need from the moment you step into our department until the day you defend your dissertation. You'll find details on course requirements, research opportunities, faculty leadership, and much more.

#### **Why This Handbook Matters**

The concentrations of Pharmacology, Pharmaceutics, and Medicinal Chemistry are housed within our Department of Pharmacological & Pharmaceutical Sciences (PPS). This handbook includes policies and procedures specific to these concentrations, along with links to broader University and College of Pharmacy policies. It's your one-stop resource for understanding the academic and administrative aspects of your doctoral journey.

#### **How to Use This Handbook**

- 1. **Getting to Know the Faculty**: Contact information for the PPS Program. We are here to guide you through your academic journey.
- 2. **Course Calendars and Requirements**: Familiarize yourself with the course calendars and requirements for your chosen concentration. This will help you plan your academic trajectory effectively.
- 3. **Research Opportunities:** Understand the types of research you can engage in, and how to earn research hours.
- 4. **Advising and Progress Monitoring**: Learn about the advising system, including interim advisors and permanent faculty advisors, as well as how your progress will be monitored.
- 5. **Milestones and Examinations:** Get a clear picture of the milestones you'll need to reach, such as qualifying exams and dissertation defenses, to successfully complete your doctoral degree.
- 6. **Professional and Personal Life:** Information on professional travel, vacation, and leave policies can also be found here.
- 7. **Appendices:** These include various rubrics that will be used to evaluate your written proposals, oral defenses, and dissertations.

We encourage you to refer to this handbook frequently and to reach out to our Graduate Program Staff members if you have any questions or need further clarification. We're here to support you every step of the way.

Once again, welcome to the program! We look forward to seeing you thrive and succeed.

#### Best wishes,

The Department of Pharmacological & Pharmaceutical Sciences

#### **PPS Faculty Leadership**

#### Dr. Greg Cuny

## Joseph and Shirley Shipman Buckley Endowed Professor of Drug Discovery and Chair

7036 H2

Phone: 713-743-1274 e-mail: gdcuny@uh.edu

#### Dr. Bradley McConnell

#### **Assistant Chair**

Professor of Pharmacology 5024 H2

Phone: 713-743-1218

e-mail: bkmcconn@central.uh.edu

#### **Graduate Program Staff**

#### Dr. Jason Eriksen

#### **Assistant Dean, Graduate Studies**

Associate Professor of Pharmacology 5021 H2

Phone: 713-743-1226

E-mail:jeriksen@central.uh.edu

#### Neha Valji

#### **Program Director**

6010 H2

Phone: 713-743-3517

E-mail: navalji@central.uh.edu

#### Cyrena Bell

#### **Graduate Academic Advisor 2**

6007B H2

Phone: 713-743-4188

E-mail: cedward4@central.uh.edu

#### Department address

Health 2 College of Pharmacy - PPS 4349 Martin Luther King Boulevard Houston, TX 77204-500

## **Concentration Descriptions**

A typical calendar for each concentration is listed on the following pages, along with distinct course requirements. Other training requirements are the same (see below). Specific course descriptions are in the <a href="Graduate Catalogue">Graduate Catalogue</a>.

## Pharmacology – Course Calendar

Semester	Course	Name	Credits
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCOL 6370	Advanced Pharmacology	3
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
YEAR 1 (FALL)	BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCOL 7180	Seminar	1
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCOL 6198	Special Problems	1
, ,		·	
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCOL 7333	Molecular Pharmacology	3
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCOL 6198	Lab for Molecular Pharmacology	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCOL 7350	Cellular Pharmacology	3
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCOL 6198	Special Problems	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
YEAR 1 (SUMMER)			
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
YEAR 2 (FALL)		Elective Course	3
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCOL 6198	Special Problems	1
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
\(\tag{-1}\)	DOO! 0\/00	0 115 11	_
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCOL 6X98	Special Problems	7
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 2 (SUMMER)			
ILAN 2 (SOMMEN)			
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
/ ( . / /	1 002 7 101	Comman	•
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
,			
YEAR 3 (SUMMER)			
•			
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
YEAR 4 (SPRING)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 4 (SPRING)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 4 (SPRING)	PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
VEAD 4 (OURSED)			
YEAR 4 (SUMMER)			
VEAD & /EALLY	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9 **
YEAR 5 (FALL)	FUUL OXYO	Ductoral Research Hours	Э
YEAR 5 (SPRING)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	8
YEAR 5 (SPRING)	PCOL 8X99	Doctoral Dissertation	1
	1 001 0/00	2000 a Diocontation	

## Pharmacology Course Requirements

Course	Name	Required Credits
PCOL 6370	Advanced Pharmacology	3
PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
	Elective	3
BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
PCOL 7333	Molecular Pharmacology	3
PCOL 6198	Lab for Molecular Pharmacology	1
PCOL 7350	Cellular Pharmacology	3
PCOL 7180/7181	Seminar	8
PCOL 7141/7142	Drug Literature Review	8
PCOL (6x98, 8x98, and 8x99)	Research Hours	39 **
	Grand Total	75

## Medicinal Chemistry – Course Calendar

VEAD 4 /EALL) DOOL 7400		CREDITS
YEAR 1 (FALL) PCOL 7460	Introduction to Med Chem	4
	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
YEAR 1 (FALL) BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
YEAR 1 (FALL) PCOL 7180	Seminar	1
	Drug Design and Discovery	3
1	Elective Course	3
	Special Problems	2
YEAR 1 (SPRING) PCOL 7181	Seminar	1
YEAR 1 (SUMMER)		
		_
	Scientific Writing	3
	Pharmacology Seminar	1
` <i>'</i>	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 2 (FALL) PCOL 6198	Special Problems	4
VEAD & (ORDING)	0	_
	Special Problems	7
	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 2 (SPRING) PCOL 7181	Pharmacology Seminar	1
VEAD 2 (CUMMED)		
YEAR 2 (SUMMER)		
YEAR 3 (FALL) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
YEAR 3 (FALL) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
YEAR 3 (SPRING) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
TEAR 3 (SPRING)	Doctoral Nesearch Flours	9
YEAR 3 (SUMMER)		
TEAR 5 (GOMMER)		
YEAR 4 (FALL) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
12/11(1/122)	Designal Messaron Floure	
YEAR 4 (SPRING) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
YEAR 4 (SUMMER)		
, ,		
YEAR 5 (FALL) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
, ,		
YEAR 5 (SPRING) PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	6 **
·	Doctoral Dissertation	3
YEAR 5 (SUMMER)		

## Medicinal Chemistry – Concentration Requirements

Course	Name	Required Credits
PCOL 7460	Introduction to Med Chem	4
PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
PCOL 6345	Drug Design and Discovery	3
	Elective	3
PCOL 7180/7181	Seminar	4
PCOL 7141/7142	Drug Literature Review	2
PCOL (6x98, 8x98, and 8x99)	Research Hours	52 **
	Grand Total	75

## Pharmaceutics – Course Calendar – Students Entering in Even Years

Semester	Course	Name	Credit Hours
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCEU 6441	Advanced Pharmacokinetics	4
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
YEAR 1 (FALL)	BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCEU 6198	Special Problems	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6241	Advanced Pharmaceutics I	2
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6242	Advanced Pharmaceutics II	2
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6142	Literature Review	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6x98	Special Problems	3
TEAR T (OF RING)	1 020 000	Opediai i Tobiciiis	3
YEAR 1 (SUMMER)			
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCEU 6141		1
		Drug Literature Review	•
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCEU 6498	Special Problems	4
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 7340	Advanced Drug Delivery	3
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 6498	Special Problems	4
· ·	1 020 0400	Opedial Frobioms	1
YEAR 2 (SUMMER)			
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCEU 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
VEAD 2 (CDDING)	DOELL 0V00	Destand Dessarab House	4
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	4
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	3
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCEU 7355	Regulatory Affairs (or another course with approval)	
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCEU 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 3 (SUMMER)			
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCEU 6180	Seminar Seminar	1
I LAIT O (I ALL)	1 020 0100	Commu	•
YEAR 4 (SPRING)	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
YEAR 4 (SUMMER)			
VEAD 5 /EALLY	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9 **
YEAR 5 (FALL)	PCEU 0X90	Doctoral Research Hours	y
YEAR 5 (SPRING)	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	6
YEAR 5 (SPRING)	PCEU 8399	Doctoral Dissertation	3

## Pharmaceutics – Concentration Requirements

Course	Name	<b>Required Credits</b>
PCEU 6441	Advanced Pharmacokinetics	4
PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
PCEU 7355	Regulatory Affairs	3
	(or another course with approval)	
PCEU 6241	Advanced Pharmaceutics I	2
PCEU 6242	Advanced Pharmaceutics II	2
PCEU 7340	Advanced Drug Delivery	3
PCOL 7180/7181	Seminar	6
PCOL 7141/7142	Drug Literature Review	6
PCOL (6x98, 8x98, and 8x99)	Research Hours	42 **
	Grand Total	75

## Pharmaceutics – Course Calendar – Students Entering in Odd Years

Semester	Course	Name	Credit Hours
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCEU 6441	Advanced Pharmacokinetics	4
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
YEAR 1 (FALL)	BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
YEAR 1 (FALL)	PCEU 6198	Special Problems	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCOL 7340	Advanced Drug Delivery	3
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 1 (SPRING)	PCEU 6198	Special Problems	4
YEAR 1 (SUMMER)			
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	D001 7070	0 : ('7' ) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCEU 7141	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 2 (FALL)	PCEU 6498	Special Problems	4
VEAD 2 (SDDING)	PCEU 6242	Advanced Pharmaceutics I	2
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 6242 PCEU 6243		2
YEAR 2 (SPRING) YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 6243 PCEU 7355	Advanced Pharmaceutics II Regulatory Affairs	3
, ,		(or another course with approval)	-
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 2 (SPRING)	PCEU 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 2 (SUMMER)			
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
` '		3	
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
YEAR 3 (SPRING)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 3 (SUMMER)			
I LAR 3 (SUIVIIVIER)			
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	7
YEAR 4 (FALL)	PCOL 7142	Drug Literature Review	1
YEAR 3 (FALL)	PCEU 6180	Seminar	1
1 = 7 (1 7 (1 7 (1 = )	1 020 0100	Commu	•
YEAR 4 (SPRING)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9
YEAR 4 (SUMMER)			
	D001 23/22		0 44
YEAR 5 (FALL)	PCOL 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	9 **
VEAD 5 (000)	DOELL OVOC	D 4 1D 111	0
YEAR 5 (SPRING)	PCEU 8X98	Doctoral Research Hours	6
YEAR 5 (SPRING)	PCEU 8399	Doctoral Dissertation	3

## **Pharmaceutics – Concentration Requirements**

Course	Name	Required Credits
PCEU 6441	Advanced Pharmacokinetics	4
PHCA 7308	Biostatistics & Experimental Design	3
BIOL 6120	Responsible Conduct of Biological Research	1
PCOL 7370	Scientific Writing	3
PCEU 7355	Regulatory Affairs	3
	(or another course with approval)	
PCEU 6241	Advanced Pharmaceutics I	2
PCEU 6242	Advanced Pharmaceutics II	2
PCEU 7340	Advanced Drug Delivery	3
PCOL 7180/7181	Seminar	6
PCOL 7141/7142	Drug Literature Review	6
PCOL (6x98, 8x98, and 8x99)	Research Hours	42 **
	Grand Total	75

## **General Course Descriptions for All Concentrations**

#### **Didactic Courses**

These are your traditional classroom-based courses featuring lectures, presentations, exercises, and exams. They are letter-graded on a scale of A to F. These courses form the core of your academic learning and are essential for building a strong foundation in your chosen field.

#### **Elective Courses**

Electives offer you the flexibility to explore topics that align with your research interests. You can choose these courses from within the College of Pharmacy, other colleges at the University of Houston, or even from Gulf Coast Consortia institutions in the Houston area. However, your choices should be made in consultation with your Faculty Advisor and dissertation committee to ensure they add meaningful insights to your dissertation topic. If you wish to take a course outside of the College of Pharmacy, you should identify a course 4-6 weeks before the start of the semester, To enroll, you will need to get advanced approval from the Course Coordinator, get approval from your Faculty advisor, and fill out an <u>inter-institutional course</u> form that is approved by the GEC.

#### Seminar Courses (PCOL/PCEU 7180/7181)

Unlike traditional lecture courses, the Seminar course is a professional development opportunity that requires mandatory attendance at weekly departmental seminars. These seminars feature professionals from the field who share their research and interact with students. While not mandatory, you are strongly encouraged to enroll for 1 credit hour for this seminar course each long semester throughout your program. These courses are graded on a Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory (S/U) basis.

Enrolling in this course may sometimes push your total credit hours above 9, incurring additional tuition costs. If this happens, you can opt out of registering for the course but must still attend the seminars. A minimum of 4 credit hours in Seminar courses is required throughout your program.

#### **Drug Literature Review (PCOL/PCEU 7141/7142)**

This course aims to sharpen your skills in critically reviewing literature in Pharmacology, Pharmaceutics, or Medicinal Chemistry. The course involves group discussions, where you'll present your analyses of selected publications. Both your peers and the instructor will evaluate your presentations. This course is also graded on a Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory (S/U) basis.

Similar to the Seminar course, registering for this course may push your total credit hours above 9. You can opt out of registering but must still attend. A minimum of 4 credit hours in Seminar courses is required throughout your program.

## **PPS Concentration Milestones**

In addition to successfully completing your graded courses, you'll also need to meet certain milestones to maintain satisfactory academic progress. These milestones are briefly outlined below, and an estimated timeline is provided for your convenience. **Bolded Milestones** are strict deadlines that must be met. If you miss one of these inflexible deadlines, it's crucial to immediately consult with the Graduate Office to resolve the issue, or you may risk having your enrollment placed on hold.

As we recognize that students will progress at different rates, other program milestones offer a bit more flexibility. However, you are still expected to complete unbolded milestones with no more than one semester of delay. Failing to meet these milestones without a valid reason could lead to initial warnings from the Assistant Dean for Graduate Programs. Continued failure to meet these milestones may result in your enrollment being placed on

hold, temporary suspension of employment with loss of stipend, loss of Graduate Tuition Fellowship (GTF), and even potential dismissal from the program.

Concentration Milestone	Deadline	Estimated Date
Choosing a faculty advisor	End of Year 1	August 2024
Appointing a committee	3 <sup>rd</sup> long semester	December 2024
Committee meeting (Year 2)	4 <sup>th</sup> long semester	May 2025
Proposal Defense	End of Year 2	August 2025
Written Qualifier	End of Year 2	August 2025
Committee meeting (Year 3)	6th long semester	May 2026
Committee meeting (Year 4)	8 <sup>th</sup> long semester	May 2027
Committee meeting (Year 5)	9 <sup>th</sup> long semester	December 2027
Approval to Write Dissertation		
Student Seminar	9 <sup>th</sup> long semester (semester before graduation)	August – December 2027
Applying to graduate	10 <sup>th</sup> long semester	January - March 2028
Submit dissertation to advisor & committee	10 <sup>th</sup> long semester 14 days before defense	March - April 2028
Dissertation Defense announcement	10 <sup>th</sup> long semester 7 days before defense	March - April 2028
Dissertation Defense	10 <sup>th</sup> long semester	March - April 2028
Dissertation Approval & Upload to Vireo	10 <sup>th</sup> long semester	March - May 2028
Graduation ceremony	10 <sup>th</sup> long semester	May 2028

## **Research Hours**

### Special Problems Research Credits (PCOL/PCEU 6X98)

Before you become a formal doctoral candidate—meaning before you've successfully passed your qualifying exams—you'll be engaged in what we call "Special Problems" research, coded as PCOL/PCEU 6X98. These credits are designed to give you academic recognition for the laboratory research you're conducting in the early stages of your academic journey. You can accumulate a maximum of 20 credit hours in Special Problems research, which will count towards the minimum research hours required for your degree. Any research hours beyond this limit will need to be in the form of Doctoral Research or Doctoral Dissertation credits. These Special Problems research credits are graded on a Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory (S/U) basis, emphasizing the developmental nature of this phase of your research.

#### **Full-Time Enrollment Considerations**

While only up to 20 credit hours of Special Problems research can count towards your degree, you may take additional 6X98 credits to meet full-time enrollment requirements. This is particularly relevant for employment, immigration, and continuous enrollment purposes. Full-time enrollment is defined as 9 credit hours during the spring and fall semesters. Summer enrollment is generally not required, except under specific circumstances, which you can find more about here.

## **Research and Dissertation Credit Requirements**

As you transition from coursework to the research phase of your doctoral program, you'll be enrolling in specific research credits that mark your progress towards becoming a Ph.D. These credits are categorized into two types: PCOL/PCEU 8X98 (Doctoral Research) and PCOL/PCEU 8X99 (Doctoral Dissertation).

#### **Doctoral Research (PCOL/PCEU 8X98)**

These are the credits you'll take after successfully passing your written qualifying exam and presenting your proposal, up until the semester before your planned graduation. They signify that you are formally considered a doctoral candidate and are actively engaged in research.

#### **Doctoral Dissertation (PCOL/PCEU 8X99)**

These credits are reserved for your final semester in the program, culminating in your dissertation defense and graduation. Unlike the Doctoral Research credits, these are letter-graded (A, B, C, D, F) to reflect the quality and rigor of your completed dissertation.

#### **Credit Hour Requirements**

To fulfill the degree requirements, you'll need to complete a minimum of 18 credit hours combined from Doctoral Research and/or Doctoral Dissertation courses. Required credit hours vary depending on the Concentration, so be sure to review your concentration requirements.

#### **Grading Scheme**

While Doctoral Research credits are graded on a Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory (S/U) basis, focusing on your ongoing research progress, the Doctoral Dissertation course in your final semester will receive a letter grade, reflecting the culmination of your academic journey.

## **Student Departmental Seminar**

As you near the completion of your doctoral studies, one of the key milestones you'll encounter is the departmental student seminar. This seminar serves a dual purpose: it offers you a platform to practice your oral presentation skills and provides an opportunity to receive constructive feedback on your research and dissertation from both faculty and peers. You'll be expected to prepare a seminar based on the research findings you've gathered for your dissertation thus far. This presentation will be open to the departmental faculty and student body, fostering an environment of academic exchange and learning.

It's important to schedule this seminar for the semester preceding your planned graduation date, commonly referred to as your penultimate semester. Given that available dates may be limited, early scheduling is strongly advised. The seminar will be graded on a Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory (S/U) basis, with the primary focus on your skill development and readiness for your forthcoming dissertation defense. For a detailed understanding of the evaluation criteria, please refer to the seminar feedback rubric available in the Appendices.

## **Academic and Research Advisors**

#### Interim advisors

As a new student, you'll be guided by two interim advisors: the **Graduate Academic Advisor (GAA)** and the **Assistant Chair of the PPS Department**. Starting from your first day of orientation, these advisors will serve as your primary sources of information, guidance, and support until you select a permanent faculty advisor.

**Graduate Academic Advisor (GAA):** The GAA plays a multifaceted role, including confirming your course enrollment each semester, assisting with academic planning, and managing your student records. You'll meet with the GAA at least twice per semester to discuss coursework and any areas where you might need additional support. The GAA also helps coordinate your degree plan and facilitates communication among students, staff, and faculty.

Assistant Chair of the PPS Department: This advisor helps you acclimate to the department, the College, and

the University at large. They provide encouragement and guidance, easing your transition into the graduate program. At the end of each semester, they will evaluate your progress and discuss areas for improvement.

#### **Permanent Faculty Advisor**

After completing your first year and any required research rotations, you'll select a permanent faculty advisor. This is a mutual decision between you and the advisor, formalized by signing the Appointment of Major Advisor Form. Your permanent advisor will then oversee all aspects of your academic and research progress.

Your faculty advisor serves as your mentor and role model, responsible for your overall academic and research development. Regular meetings—at least once at the beginning of each semester—are essential for planning your academic trajectory and monitoring your research progress.

#### **Changing Advisors**

If you find that you're unable to work effectively with your advisor, you may seek a new advisor and laboratory, provided you're not on academic probation. However, note that RA and/or TA positions are not guaranteed to move with you. Any change must be approved by the Graduate Education Committee (GEC) and the Department Chair. The GEC will review the written evaluations of the student by the advisor in rotation reports, reports of the student's committee meetings and attempt to assist the student in either resolving the problem and/or identifying another faculty advisor position if possible. However, in instances where this is not possible or where there is documentation of repeated notifications to the student to correct deficiencies in performance without evidence of appropriate action by the student to correct these deficiencies, it may result in the student's dismissal from the graduate program.

## **Monitoring of Graduate Student Progress**

Your academic and research progress is a focal point throughout your time in the program, and a structured monitoring system is in place to ensure you're on the right track. Initially, your Interim Advisors will oversee your progress, which will later be managed by your permanent faculty advisor and dissertation committee. In your first year, rotation reports from both you and faculty members play a crucial role in your evaluations.

Each graduate student <u>must</u> meet with their advisor and their dissertation committee at least once a year. It's mandatory for you to have at least one annual meeting with your advisor and dissertation committee. However, the PPS Department strongly recommends bi-annual meetings, ideally in September and March, especially once you've reached the status of doctoral candidate.

#### **Components of the Progress Report**

Following these meetings, your advisor will compile a summary of the committee's deliberations and recommendations. This report will be shared with you and each committee member, and a copy will be submitted to the Assistant/Associate Dean for the Graduate Program for inclusion in your student file. The report should minimally cover:

- 1. Previous Accomplishments
- 2. Current Progress
- 3. Future Plans
- 4. Predicted Completion Date

Your faculty advisor and committee members are also required to include a narrative in your progress report. This narrative should outline any significant issues that could potentially impact your ability to complete your dissertation successfully. This report serves as a comprehensive review and should be discussed in detail with your faculty advisor.

These progress reports are confidential and will be accessible only to you, the Department Chair, the Assistant/Associate Dean for Graduate Programs, and the Graduate Education Committee. For your convenience, a form for this process is available on the UH College of Pharmacy website.

## **Laboratory Rotations and Advisor Selection**

#### **Understanding Laboratory Rotations**

As a new student, and whether you arrive as a TA or an RA, you'll participate in laboratory rotations to familiarize yourself with research practices and potential dissertation advisors. We encourage you to contact your first potential advisors within the first week of the program. The rotations aim to (1) introduce you to potential dissertation advisors, (2) equip you with research techniques and practices, and (3) allow for critical evaluation by laboratory heads.

During your first year, you are required to complete two mandatory rotations, with an optional third rotation if needed. Each rotation lasts a minimum of 8 weeks. The first rotation should commence within the first two weeks of the fall semester, while the second can start immediately after the first or at the beginning of the spring semester. You may opt to complete your second rotation in the same lab as your first. However, if you plan to stay in the same laboratory, you will need to submit a petition to the Graduate Education Committee (GEC), along with confirmation from the Principal Investigator (PI) of the lab.

#### **Reporting and Evaluations**

Within one week of completing each rotation, you must submit a <u>brief written report</u> on your research activities to the faculty member overseeing the lab and to the GEC Chair. Similarly, the faculty member will assess your performance, motivation, and potential, and <u>submit a report</u> to the GEC Chair. The GEC will review both reports and may provide feedback and consultation as necessary. Required forms for this process can be found here.

#### **Selecting a Dissertation Advisor**

By the end of your first summer, you should have mutually agreed upon a dissertation advisor, subject to approval by the departmental Chair. An <u>agreement form</u> verifying this relationship must be signed by both you and your advisor. Failure to secure an advisor by the end of the first summer may result in loss of financial support and possible dismissal from the program.

#### **Special Considerations for Advisors**

**Co-Advisor Requirement:** If your major advisor does not have a doctorate earned with a dissertation (e.g., Pharm.D.), a co-advisor with an earned Ph.D. must also be appointed. Alternatively, you can file a petition to the GEC to evaluate the credentials of your major advisor. Approvals are granted on a case-by-case basis.

## Formation of the Dissertation Committee

Within six months of choosing your research advisor, it's essential to form your doctoral dissertation committee. This committee plays a vital role in guiding both the academic and research dimensions of your doctoral program.

Your dissertation committee should consist of a minimum of five members:

- Faculty Advisor (Chair): Your major advisor serves as the chair of the committee.
- **Departmental Members**: Up to three additional members must come from the PPS department.
- **External Members**: Up to two members should be external to the PPS Department and/or the University of Houston. Detailed guidelines for the composition and credentials of the committee can be found in the

Appointment of Dissertation Committee form.

To formalize the committee, you and your major advisor will complete and sign the Appointment of Dissertation Committee form. This form should then be forwarded to the Graduate Academic Advisor (GAA), who will collect additional signatures from the chair of the Graduate Education Committee (GEC) and complete any other required steps.

#### **Enrollment Hold and Exceptions**

Failure to form your dissertation committee by the end of your third long semester will result in an enrollment hold. However, under certain circumstances, the designation of external committee members may be postponed. For instance, if there is insufficient progress in identifying your dissertation project, it may be challenging to select appropriate external members.

In such cases,

- Notification to GEC: You must inform the GEC chair of this decision through a memo, which will be added to your student file.
- **Target Date:** Your memo should specify a target date by which it is expected that the external committee members will be designated.
- **Procedure for Delays:** If other circumstances cause a delay in this milestone, a similar notification process should be followed, always keeping the GEC informed.

## **Requirements for Doctoral Candidacy**

Before you can be fully admitted as a doctoral candidate, you must meet certain prerequisites. These include successfully completing all the didactic courses required for your program and maintaining good standing in other graduate credit hours. Additionally, you'll need to complete a two-step Qualifying Examination (QE) process, which should be finalized no later than the end of your second year in the graduate program.

#### Two-Step Qualifying Examination

To achieve doctoral candidacy, you are required to complete a two-step qualifying examination, consisting of:

- **Dissertation Proposal and Oral Defense:** Develop a comprehensive dissertation proposal that outlines your research project and successfully pass the oral defense of this proposal.
- Written Qualifying Examination: Complete a written exam that assesses your knowledge in your program area and the specific field of your dissertation research.

You won't be eligible to register for Doctoral Research credit hours until you've successfully completed both the oral defense and the written qualifying examination. Until these milestones are achieved, you can receive credit for your research activities by registering for Special Problems courses, coded as PCOL 6X98 or PCEU 6X98.

## <u>Dissertation Proposal and Oral Defense: A Comprehensive Guide to Your Milestone</u> Assessment

By the end of your second year, you're required to prepare and orally defend a dissertation proposal. This proposal should follow the format of an NIH individual predoctoral fellowship (F31) grant proposal. While the published guidelines for the Research Plan should be adhered to, the entire proposal should be double-spaced for easier reading and correction. Typically, you'll draft this document during the Scientific Writing class in the spring of your second year, and in close collaboration with your faculty advisor. A polished version of the proposal must be submitted to your advisor and Doctoral Dissertation Committee at least 14 days before scheduling the

defense.

#### The Defense Procedure

The proposal defense is a private meeting between you and your Doctoral Dissertation Committee. You'll kick off the defense with a roughly 30-minute presentation summarizing your preliminary data and proposed research. The committee's examination will follow, focusing not only on your proposed project but also on relevant literature and prior coursework. The goal is to assess both your specific research plans and your broader understanding of the field.

#### **Committee Recommendations**

At the conclusion of your defense, the committee will recommend one of the following:

- Acceptance Without Modification: You'll proceed with your study as outlined. Your proposal and a signed Proposal Approval Form will be submitted to the Graduate Education Committee (GEC) within three days for inclusion in your student file.
- Acceptance With Modification: The committee will suggest specific changes to your proposal. A revised draft, along with a signed Approval Form, should be submitted to the GEC within two weeks of the defense.
- **Rejection:** This could occur if the committee finds the proposal underdeveloped or if you're unable to adequately defend it. You'll receive guidance on areas for improvement and will have up to six months to schedule another defense.

#### **Documentation and Next Steps**

Your faculty advisor will prepare a memo summarizing the defense and the committee's recommendations. Copies will be provided to you and each committee member, and one will be placed in your student file. You have two chances to successfully defend your proposal. If unsuccessful on the second attempt, you'll be transitioned into a contingency M.S. program, or dismissed from the Ph.D. program if you already hold an M.S. degree from the Department.

#### University Policy and Timelines: Consequences of Non-Completion

Unless granted an exemption by the GEC, both qualifying exams must be completed by the end of your second year. Failure to do so is considered an issue of academic progression and may result in termination of enrollment in the program, as per University policy.

## **Exam Structure and Focus**

The written qualifying examination is designed to test your understanding of core concepts in your discipline, particularly how they relate to your research project. The questions aim to evaluate your ability to integrate knowledge from multiple courses and apply it to new challenges. Each member of your committee contributes to the exam and may assign specific readings or highlight areas for focused study to help you prepare.

#### **Grading Criteria**

Each question on the exam will be graded by at least two different faculty members, who may or may not be on your committee but will be experts in the relevant field. Graders will return the questions to your advisor within 10 days. To pass, you must achieve a minimum overall grade of 75% and no less than 70% from the mean score of the two graders for each individual question.

#### **Retake Policy**

If you don't pass the exam on your first attempt, you'll have the opportunity to retake it no later than the end of the following semester. If you fail to meet the 70% threshold for a single question, the committee may allow you to retest that specific question. Failing the exam twice will prevent you from advancing to Doctoral candidacy, but you may be eligible for a contingency master's degree. Upon successful completion, you'll submit the <a href="Completion of Written Qualifier">Completion of Written Qualifier</a> form, signed by your advisor and all committee members.

## **Completing Your Doctoral Degree**

#### **Research and Dissertation Credits**

As a graduate student in either the Pharmacology or Pharmaceutics doctoral program, you're required to complete at least 18 hours of Doctoral Research (PCOL 8X98) or Doctoral Dissertation (PCOL 8X99). You can only register for these courses after achieving Doctoral Candidacy, which makes it crucial to form your dissertation committee and defend your proposal in a timely manner.

#### **Seminar Requirement**

As a Ph.D. candidate, you must present a 50-minute research seminar based on your dissertation work during your fourth year. This seminar should be scheduled at least one semester before your planned graduation date. Committee members are encouraged to attend, and audience feedback will be collected using a survey instrument developed by the Graduate Education Committee (GEC).

#### Written Dissertation and Dissertation Defense

To earn your PhD degree, your Committee must approve your written dissertation, and you must defend your dissertation in a public defense. Two weeks prior to your defense, you must coordinate with the Graduate Academic Advisor (GAA) to send out a public announcement that must be posted at least one week before your defense. A dissertation defense consists of a 45–60-minute public seminar, followed by a private meeting with your dissertation committee. After the meeting, the committee will complete the University of Houston signature forms, as outlined in Section 16 of the Ph.D. Handbook.

## **Professional Travel**

For guidelines on professional travel during your Ph.D. journey, please refer to Section 10 of the Ph.D. Handbook.

## **Vacation and Leave**

The expectation is that you'll be working on your degree year-round; taking an entire summer off is generally not an option unless it's for an approved internship. The best time for vacation is during the break between the fall and spring semesters when the University of Houston is closed. If you wish to take additional days off at other times, you must adhere to the guidelines outlined in Section 9 of the <a href="Ph.D. Handbook">Ph.D. Handbook</a> and submit a <a href="Travel Form">Travel Form</a>. Always secure the required permissions before making any travel arrangements. These guidelines apply to Research Assistants (RAs), Teaching Assistants (TAs), and scholarship students. TAs should be particularly mindful of their required duties when planning any time off.

## **APPENDICES**

## Rubric – Written proposal

#### **Instructions**

Competed forms are to be treated as confidential and are to be turned to the PI, who will turn them to the Assistant/Associate Dean for
graduate studies (not to the student) at the conclusion of the defense. A summary copy of the written comments and overall evaluation
from the committee members will be provided to the student, the major advisor and the student's committee members by that same
office

Student Name:		Commit	Committee Member:			
Degree Program:	Degree Program:			Major Advisor		
Date of exam:	Propos	sal Title:				
Written proposal	Do not meet	Meet expectations	Exceed	Comments		

Written proposal	Do not meet	<b>Meet expectations</b>	Exceed	Comments
	expectations		Expectations	
Quality of the science proposed	<ul> <li>Argument are incorrect, incoherent, or flawed</li> <li>Objectives poorly defined</li> <li>Not understanding of associate literature in topic</li> <li>Poor understanding of theoretical concepts</li> <li>Limited originality</li> <li>Limited creativity and insight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Argument are coherent, and clear</li> <li>Objectives are clear</li> <li>Understanding of associate literature in topic</li> <li>Understanding of theoretical concepts</li> <li>Demonstrate originality</li> <li>Demonstrate creativity and insight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Arguments are superior</li> <li>Objectives well defined</li> <li>Well knowledge of associate literature in topic</li> <li>Mastery of theoretical concepts</li> <li>Exceptional originality</li> <li>Exceptional creativity and insight</li> </ul>	
Quality of the writing	<ul> <li>Writing is weak</li> <li>Numerous         grammatical and         spelling errors</li> <li>Organization is poor</li> <li>Document is poor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Writing is adequate</li> <li>Few grammatical and spelling errors</li> <li>Organization is logical</li> <li>Document is adequate</li> </ul>	O Writing is publication quality  No grammatical and spelling errors  Organization is excellent  Document is excellent	
Overall assessment	<ul> <li>Does not meet expectations</li> </ul>	Meets expectations	o Exceed expectations	

Other comments:			

## EVALUATING THE WRITTEN PROPOSAL (Science)

Written proposal	Y/N	Comments
(Research/science)		
ABSTRACT		
Provides overview of study	Y/N	
Presents only needed details	Y/N	
SPECIFIC AIMS		
Presents what is relevant and known	Y/N	
Moves to what is not known, and ideas	Y/N	
Presents a clear research question	Y/N	
Presents preliminary experiments	Y/N	
Gives overview of experimental approach	Y/N	
Proposes adequate methods.	Y/N	
Indicates parameters of study (time, dosage,	Y/N	
species, gender, n, etc)		
Proposes statistical approaches to evaluate	Y/N	
outcomes, if necessary		
Gives prediction of outcomes	Y/N	
Proposes additional approaches	Y/N	
Present relevance of answers obtained	Y/N	
OTHER FEATURES		
Adheres to the limits in pages and words	Y/N	
established by the program		
Correct use of references	Y/N	
Correct use and presentation of figure/table and	Y/N	
legends		
icgenus		

Other comments:			

### **Rubric - Oral Proposal Defense**

#### **Instructions**

Competed forms are to be treated as confidential and are to be turned to the PI, who will turn them to the Assistant/Associate Dean for graduate studies (not to the student) at the conclusion of the defense. A summary copy of the written comments and overall evaluation from the committee members will be provided to the student, the major advisor and the student's committee members by that same office.

Student Name:		Committee Member:
Degree Program:		Major Advisor:
	_	
Date of exam:	Proposal Title:	_

Oral proposal defense  Quality of the presentation	Do not meet expectations  O Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides difficult to read	Meet expectations	Exceed Expectations  Output  Very well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Outstanding slides	Comments
Breadth of knowledge	<ul> <li>Critical weakness in depth of knowledge</li> <li>Lack of critical thinking skills</li> <li>Presentation narrow in scope</li> <li>Unacceptable topic presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some depth of knowledge</li> <li>Above average critical thinking skills</li> <li>Able to draw from knowledge into several disciplines</li> <li>Acceptable topic presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exceptional depth of knowledge</li> <li>Well develop thinking skills</li> <li>Able to interconnect and extend knowledge to multiple disciplines</li> <li>Superior topic presentation</li> </ul>	
Quality of response to questions	<ul> <li>Incomplete/require prompting</li> <li>Arguments poorly presented</li> <li>Lack of knowledge in subject area</li> <li>Do not meet level expected for program</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Responses complete</li> <li>Arguments well presented</li> <li>Adequate knowledge in subject area</li> <li>Meet level expected for program</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eloquent responses</li> <li>Arguments skillfully presented</li> <li>Superior knowledge in subject area</li> <li>Exceed level expected for program</li> </ul>	
Overall assessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets expectations	o Exceed expectations	

Other comments:

#### **Rubric – Student Seminar**

<u>Instructions.</u>- Competed forms (by students and faculty) are to be turned to the PI, who will turn them to the Assistant/Associate Dean for graduate studies (not to the student) at the conclusion of the seminar. A summary copy of the written comments and overall evaluation from the peers and audience will be provided to the student and the major advisor by that same office.

Student Presenter Name:		Student/evaluator:
Degree Program:		Major Advisor:
Date of exam:	Proposal Title:	

		Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)
Delivery of presentation	Speaking skills	Correct and clear voice.	Voice is clear, little fluctuation.	Voice fluctuates from low to clear.	Mumbles / voice too low, difficult to hear
	Audience interaction	Audience can hear well at all times Refers/points to slides to follow presentation	Audience can hear well most of the time Refers/points to slides to follow presentation	Difficult to hear at times Reads some and refers to slides to make points	Reads slides word for word
	Eye contact	Eye contact all the time / engaged with audience No mannerisms	Eye contact majority of the time A little nervous	Occasional eye contact Nervous, some distracting mannerisms	No or just occasional eye contact Uncomfortable speaker
Presentation Visuals	Slides and Graphics	Very pleasing visuals and layout. Uses graphics that very well explain and reinforce presentation	Adequate visuals and layout. Uses graphics that explain and reinforce presentation	Cluttered visuals. Some graphics failed to explain and reinforce the presentation.	Confusing layout and too many graphics. Graphics do not explain or reinforce the presentation
	Organization presentation	Very easy to follow, information presented as an interesting story, very logical	Easy to follow, information presented in logical sequence	Most information presented in sequence Some irrelevant information	Hard to follow; jumping information Lacks clear transition

	Pace and length	Well pace Appropriate (40- 45 min)	Most of seminar well- paced Adequate (35-40 min)	Short (30 min) or too long (>50 min). Rushed/dragging in parts	Too short (<30 min) Rushed/dragging all the time
Contont	Cubiast brounded as	Demonstrate full	V mayyladaaahla /	Comfortable with	Does not have a
Content	Subject knowledge	knowledge / answered all questions with elaboration	Knowledgeable / answered all questions well	information / answered most questions	grasp on subject / difficulty answering questions
	Background Lit. review	Sufficient material for clear understanding and exceptionally presented	Sufficient material effectively presented and background clear to understand	Background dominated presentation, but clear to understand	Not clearly related to topic
	Hypothesis Research Plan	Challenging research question Well-developed research plan Original	Focused research question Minor flaws in research plan Good contribution to field	Poorly focused research question Incomplete research plan Mild contribution to field	Inadequate research question Incoherent research plan No contribution to field
	Methods	Sufficient detail and exceptionally presented	Sufficient to understand and effectively presented	Enough to understand but not clearly presented	Too brief or insufficient OR Too much detail
	Results Analysis	All figures are clear and exceptionally explained and interpreted	Most figures are clear and well explained Partial error in interpretation	Most figures are clear and reasonably explained Errors in analysis, and missed possibilities	Figures hard to read / Lacking explanation Major errors in data interpretation
	Conclusions	Insightful conclusions supported by evidences Recommends future direction for research	Conclusions are supported by evidence Some discussion on implications and future research	Conclusions lack some evidence support Minimal discussion of implications and future research	Conclusions are not supported by evidence No discussion regarding implications and/or future work
	Significance	Exceptionally well explained	Mentioned and explained	Mentioned	No mentioned / just hinted

Other comments.		

#### **Rubric - Written Dissertation**

#### **Instructions**

Competed forms are to be treated as confidential and are to be turned to the PI, who will turn them to the Assistant/Associate Dean for graduate studies (not to the student) at the conclusion of the defense. A summary copy of the written comments and overall evaluation from the committee members will be provided to the student, the major advisor and the student's committee members by that same office.

Advisor:

#### **EVALUATING THE WRITTEN DISSERTATION (Document)**

Dissertation	Do not meet expectations	Meet expectations	Exceed Expectations	Comments
Quality of the research performed	<ul> <li>Argument are incorrect, incoherent, or flawed</li> <li>Objectives poorly defined</li> <li>Limited originality</li> <li>Limited creativity and insight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Argument are coherent, and clear</li> <li>Objectives are clear</li> <li>Demonstrate originality</li> <li>Demonstrate creativity and insight</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Arguments are superior</li> <li>Mastery of theoretical concepts</li> <li>Exceptional originality</li> <li>Exceptional creativity and insight</li> </ul>	
Quality of the writing	<ul> <li>Writing is weak</li> <li>Numerous         grammatical and         spelling errors</li> <li>Organization is poor</li> <li>Document is poor</li> </ul>	O Writing is adequate Few grammatical and spelling errors Organization is logical Document is adequate	O Writing is publication quality  No grammatical and spelling errors  Organization is excellent  Document is excellent	
Overall assessment	<ul> <li>Does not meet expectations</li> </ul>	Meets expectations	o Exceed expectations	

Other comments/recommendations:	
	_
	_
	_

## EVALUATING THE DISSERTATION (Science)

(D 1/1:)		Comments
(Research/science)		
<u>ABSTRACT</u>		
Duranidas arramiana of atanda	Y/N	
Provides overview of study	_,_,	
Presents only needed details	Y/N	
SPECIFIC AIMS and RESULTS		
Presents what is relevant and known	Y/N	
Moves to what is not known and ideas	Y/N	
Presents a clear research question	Y/N	
Presents experiments	Y/N	
Gives overview of experimental approach	Y/N	
Uses adequate methods	Y/N	
Indicates parameters of study (time, dosage,	Y/N	
species, gender, n, etc)	<b>X</b> 7/ <b>N</b> T	
Uses statistical approaches to evaluate outcomes,	Y/N	
if necessary	<b>X</b> 7/ <b>N</b> T	
	Y/N Y/N	
Explain results obtained from experiments	Y/N Y/N	
Discuss results and significance to field	1/19	
Presents future directions		
OTHER FEATURES		
Adheres to policies established by the program	Y/N	
Correct use of references	Y/N	
Correct use of references  Correct use and presentation of figure/table	Y/N	
legends	2/11	
regenus		

Other comments:			

#### **Rubric - Presentation Dissertation Defense**

#### **Instructions**

Competed forms are to be treated as confidential and are to be turned to the PI, who will turn them to the Assistant/Associate Dean for graduate studies (not to the student) at the conclusion of the defense. A summary copy of the written comments and overall evaluation from the committee members will be provided to the student, the major advisor and the student's committee members by that same office.

Student Name:		Committee Member:
Degree Program:		Major Advisor:
	-	
Date of exam:	Proposal Title:	_

Oral Dissertation	Do not meet	Meet expectations	Exceed	Comments
defense	expectations		<b>Expectations</b>	
Quality of the presentation	<ul> <li>Poorly organized</li> <li>Poor presentation</li> <li>Poor communication skills</li> <li>Slides difficult to read</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clearly organized</li> <li>Clear presentation</li> <li>Good communication skills</li> <li>Slides clear to read</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very well organized</li> <li>Professional presentation</li> <li>Excellent communication skills</li> <li>Outstanding slides</li> </ul>	
Breadth of knowledge	<ul> <li>Critical weakness in depth of knowledge</li> <li>Lack of critical thinking skills</li> <li>Presentation narrow in scope</li> <li>Unacceptable topic presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some depth of knowledge</li> <li>Above average critical thinking skills</li> <li>Able to draw from knowledge into several disciplines</li> <li>Acceptable topic presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exceptional depth of knowledge</li> <li>Well develop thinking skills</li> <li>Able to interconnect and extend knowledge to multiple disciplines</li> <li>Superior topic presentation</li> </ul>	
Quality of response to questions	<ul> <li>Incomplete/require prompting</li> <li>Arguments poorly presented</li> <li>Lack of knowledge in subject area</li> <li>Do not meet level expected for program</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Responses complete</li> <li>Arguments well presented</li> <li>Adequate knowledge in subject area</li> <li>Meet level expected for program</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eloquent responses</li> <li>Arguments skillfully presented</li> <li>Superior knowledge in subject area</li> <li>Exceed level expected for program</li> </ul>	
Overall assessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets expectations	Exceed expectations	

Other comments: